Case 1:05-cv-00035-PLM ECF No. 261 filed 05/15/08 PageID.5352 Page 1 of 74

	<u> </u>		
	1		
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN		
3	SOUTHERN DIVISION		
4			
5	JOEL GOLDMAN,		
6	Plaintiff,		
7	v. CASE NO: 1:05-CV-35		
8	HEALTHCARE MANAGEMENT		
9	SYSTEMS, INC. and THOMAS E. GIVENS,		
10	Defendants.		
11	/		
12			
13	* * *		
14	TESTIMONY OF THOMAS STEPHENSON		
15	DURING EVIDENTIARY HEARING 3/18/08		
16	* * *		
17			
18			
19	BEFORE: THE HONORABLE PAUL L. MALONEY United States District Judge		
20	Kalamazoo, Michigan March 18, 2008		
21	1.01. 10, 2000		
22			
23			
∠ ≾			

KATHLEEN S. THOMAS, U.S. District Court Reporter 410 West Michigan Avenue, Kalamazoo, Michigan 49007 (269)385-3050

24

25

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF:
4	BRADLEY L. SMITH BONNIE R. SHAW Brinks, Hofer, Gilson & Lione
5	524 South Main Street, Suite 200 Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104
6	
7	APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS:
8	RICHARD A. KAY ADAM JOHN BRODY
9	Varnum, Riddering, Schmidt & Howlett, LLP Bridgewater Place
10	333 Bridge Street,
11	P.O. Box 352 N.W. Grand Rapids, Michigan 49501-0352
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Case 1:05-cv-00035-PLM ECF No. 261 filed 05/15/08 PageID.5354 Page 3 of 74

1 INDEX 2 WITNESS: Page 3 THOMAS STEPHENSON: 4 Direct Examination by Mr. Kay 9 5 Cross Examination by Mr. Smith 50 6 7 8 9 EXHIBITS: Rec'd. 10 Defendants' Exhibit No. 3 41 11 Defendants' Exhibit No. 5 50 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24			3
THOMAS STEPHENSON: 4 Direct Examination by Mr. Kay 5 Cross Examination by Mr. Smith 6 7 8 9 EXHIBITS: Rec'd. 10 Defendants' Exhibit No. 3 41 11 Defendants' Exhibit No. 4 12 Defendants' Exhibit No. 5 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	1	INDEX	
4 Direct Examination by Mr. Kay 9 5 Cross Examination by Mr. Smith 50 6 7 8 9 EXHIBITS: Rec'd. 10 Defendants' Exhibit No. 3 41 11 Defendants' Exhibit No. 4 47 12 Defendants' Exhibit No. 5 50 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	2	WITNESS:	Page
5 Cross Examination by Mr. Smith 50 6 7 8 9 EXHIBITS: Rec'd. 10 Defendants' Exhibit No. 3 41 11 Defendants' Exhibit No. 4 47 12 Defendants' Exhibit No. 5 50 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	3	THOMAS STEPHENSON:	
6 7 8 9 EXHIBITS: Rec'd. 10 Defendants' Exhibit No. 3 41 11 Defendants' Exhibit No. 4 47 12 Defendants' Exhibit No. 5 50 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	4	Direct Examination by Mr. Kay	9
8 9 EXHIBITS: Rec'd. 10 Defendants' Exhibit No. 3 41 11 Defendants' Exhibit No. 4 47 12 Defendants' Exhibit No. 5 50 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	5	Cross Examination by Mr. Smith	50
8 9 EXHIBITS: Rec'd. 10 Defendants' Exhibit No. 3 41 11 Defendants' Exhibit No. 4 47 12 Defendants' Exhibit No. 5 50 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	6		
9 EXHIBITS: Rec'd. 10 Defendants' Exhibit No. 3 41 11 Defendants' Exhibit No. 4 47 12 Defendants' Exhibit No. 5 50 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	7		
10 Defendants' Exhibit No. 3 41 11 Defendants' Exhibit No. 4 47 12 Defendants' Exhibit No. 5 50 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	8		
11 Defendants' Exhibit No. 4 47 12 Defendants' Exhibit No. 5 50 13	9	EXHIBITS:	Rec'd.
12 Defendants' Exhibit No. 5 50 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	10	Defendants' Exhibit No. 3	41
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	11	Defendants' Exhibit No. 4	47
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	12	Defendants' Exhibit No. 5	50
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	13		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	14		
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	15		
18 19 20 21 22 23	16		
 19 20 21 22 23 	17		
20212223	18		
212223	19		
22 23	20		
23	21		
	22		
24	23		
	24		

KATHLEEN S. THOMAS, U.S. District Court Reporter 410 West Michigan Avenue, Kalamazoo, Michigan 49007 (269)385-3050

25

1	Kalamazoo, Michigan
2	March 18, 2008
3	at approximately 11:46 a.m.
4	PROCEEDINGS
5	THE COURT: All right. Do you wish to call any live
6	witnesses on Mr. Jacobson?
7	MR. KAY: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
9	MR. KAY: Okay.
10	THE COURT: We will start.
11	MR. KAY: Mr. Stephenson.
12	Mr. Stephenson, step forward and be sworn in,
13	please.
14	THOMAS MELVIN STEPHENSON - SWORN
15	COURT CLERK: Please state your full name and spell
16	it slowly for the record.
17	THE WITNESS: Thomas, T-h-o-m-a-s, Melvin,
18	M-e-l-v-i-n, Stephenson, S-t-e-p-h-e-n-s-o-n.
19	THE COURT: You may be seated, sir.
20	MR. KAY: Your Honor, I recognize this is a horse of
21	a little bit different color in that it's a hearing
22	evidentiary hearing and not a trial, I would move the admission
23	of Plaintiff's Exhibits 1 and 2.
24	MR. SMITH: I object, your Honor. I object
25	specifically to the affidavit provided by Mr. Tom Givens

- 1 because it contains testimony that's inadmissible under Rule
- 2 702. I also object to the mass bulk offer of evidence, two
- 3 three-ring binders as one exhibit. It makes it difficult to
- 4 sort out and keep track of what is admitted and what is not,
- 5 but in particular in my brief, because I did not see these
- 6 exhibits before this morning, in my brief perusal this morning,
- 7 I did find that one objectionable declaration, your Honor.
- 8 THE COURT: Mr. Kay, what is your response to the
- 9 objection?
- 10 MR. KAY: I don't understand the second objection. I
- 11 think we have covered everything that's in there, it's
- 12 appropriate, it's authenticated, it's been a part of the case.
- 13 Do you want me to move it tab by tab? I'm happy to do that.
- 14 The objection as to the declaration of Givens, again
- 15 I recognize it's a horse of a different color. I can cover the
- 16 same subject matter with Mr. Stephenson.
- 17 THE COURT: All right. Well, here's what we will do
- 18 for now, in the interest of moving things along, I'll take
- 19 under advisement -- Your objection is to the Givens
- 20 declaration, and then--
- 21 MR. SMITH: A lack of opportunity to review the
- 22 entirety of the materials, your Honor. It would be, if we have
- 23 covered it all as Mr. Kay represents, the other exhibits, I
- 24 don't object to, but the Givens affidavit I do. I do not
- 25 believe that Mr. Kay is accurate, however, when he says we have

- 1 covered every exhibit.
- 2 So I would agree to allow Mr. Kay to examine
- 3 Mr. Stephenson on exhibits, but I think it would be
- 4 appropriate --
- 5 THE COURT: So you want some time to review all the
- 6 exhibits in the binders to make sure they are coincident with
- 7 other materials involved in the case, is that what I hear you
- 8 saying?
- 9 MR. SMITH: That's almost exactly right.
- 10 THE COURT: Okay.
- 11 MR. SMITH: If I could suggest that we admit in
- 12 portions of Defendants' Exhibit 1 as we go along, and that
- 13 would permit me an opportunity to object at the time. Pre
- 14 admission is usually a process that's followed when the sides
- 15 have exchanged exhibits and had an opportunity, so.
- 16 THE COURT: Well, the other thing I can do would be
- 17 just to give you time to look through the materials, and then
- 18 recognizing that there is probably going to be, hopefully, I
- 19 don't know, but hopefully, a large portion of the exhibit that
- 20 for which there will not be any objection. I think it probably
- 21 would be better use of our time if we would give you the time,
- 22 you know, when we are not on the record to go through the
- 23 exhibits.
- 24 So I will-- what I'll do is I'll note your objections
- 25 for the record and give you the opportunity to go through the

- 1 materials and see if there is anything in there. Obviously
- 2 you've made an objection regarding the Givens' affidavit, but
- 3 if there are other materials you have a reservation, about
- 4 after you review it, then we will take the ones you've got
- 5 objection to as opposed to taking the time to move each sub
- 6 part of the exhibit which might take some time.
- 7 MR. SMITH: That's fine.
- 8 THE COURT: Why don't we do it that way. I think
- 9 that is the most efficient way to do it.
- 10 MR. SMITH: That's fine, your Honor.
- 11 THE COURT: All right. With that understanding,
- 12 Mr. Kay, you may inquire.
- 13 MR. KAY: Let me, before I start, there actually was
- 14 one exhibit that was at Tab 9 that was not referenced. The
- 15 exhibit at Tab 9 is another version of HMRA95 from Mr. Goldman,
- 16 this is a version that was produced to us in his 2005
- 17 production under the stipulated order. And I have included it
- 18 because it is another example of HMRA95 that does not have a
- 19 copyright notice.
- 20 If you'll recall in the Goldman 1979-1988 folder that
- 21 was given to Mr. Jacobson, there were two versions of HMRA95,
- 22 one had a copyright notice, this one doesn't-- the other one
- 23 didn't, and now we've got yet another version in front of us
- 24 from a Goldman production in 2005 that does not.
- 25 In fact, that has one-- the version, one version out

- of the 1979-88 Goldman folder that Jacobson has copyright Joel
- 2 Goldman 1979, that is a folder, the next one has only copyright
- 3 Joel Goldman no year. And the one that you're looking at here
- 4 has no reference to copyright notice at all. So we've got a
- 5 new third version.
- 6 THE COURT: Mr. Stephenson, if you need some water.
- 7 THE WITNESS: I've got some. Thank you.
- 8 THE COURT: Okay.
- 9 MR. KAY: I need a couple of minutes to set up.
- 10 THE COURT: Do you want me to stand down for five
- 11 minutes or maybe everybody needs a break.
- 12 MR. KAY: Sure. How late did you want to go before
- 13 lunch?
- 14 THE COURT: Well, we didn't start until 10:00, so I
- 15 planned to go until around 12:30 or so.
- 16 Why don't I stand down and you can do your
- 17 electronics in the five minute period, everybody probably needs
- 18 a break anyway.
- MR. KAY: Thank you.
- THE COURT: We will resume at noon.
- You may step down for now, Mr. Stephenson.
- 22 COURT CLERK: All rise.
- 23 Court is in recess.
- 24 (At 11:53 a.m., recess.)
- 25 (At 12:04 p.m., proceedings continued.)

- 1 THE COURT: All right, Mr. Stephenson, if you want to
- 2 step forward, sir.
- We'll go to about 12:30, 12:40, if you get a natural
- 4 break, and then we will break for lunch.
- 5 MR. KAY: All right. Great.
- And Mr. Smith just asked me, and I think it probably
- 7 makes sense, Mr. Stephenson has some things to offer on the
- 8 Daubert motion on Mr. Jacobson, and he also has some
- 9 information to offer on the Thomas motion, I'm happy to put it
- 10 all in right now.
- 11 THE COURT: Mr. Smith, what is your pleasure in that
- 12 regard, sir?
- 13 MR. SMITH: I would prefer that as well, your Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: All right. Do it all at once?
- 15 MR. SMITH: Well, for the convenience of the witness.
- 16 THE COURT: All right. That's fine. All right. We
- 17 will do it that way.
- 18 MR. KAY: All right. Great. Thank you.
- 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 20 BY MR. KAY:
- 21 Q. Mr. Stephenson, why don't you introduce yourself to Judge
- 22 Maloney.
- 23 A. Tom Stephenson.
- 24 Q. Who are you?
- 25 A. I'm president and CEO of Healthcare Management Systems.

- 1 Q. How long have you been with Healthcare Management Systems?
- 2 A. It will be 23 years next month.
- 3 Q. Why don't you give us your educational background?
- 4 A. I graduated from Vanderbilt University in 1983 with a
- 5 bachelor's degree in-- with a major in math and a minor in
- 6 computer science.
- 7 Q. When you graduated where did you go to work?
- 8 A. I went to work for American Metal Centers as a computer
- 9 programmer.
- 10 O. What was American Medical Center?
- 11 A. American Medical Centers was a small company in Nashville
- 12 that owned hospitals, roughly at the time I started, probably
- 13 12 to 15 hospitals.
- 14 Q. How long were you at American Medical Centers?
- 15 A. Right about a year.
- 16 Q. One year?
- 17 A. One year.
- 18 Q. And then where did you go to work?
- 19 A. I went to work for Advanced Information Concepts, which
- 20 later became Healthcare Management Systems.
- 21 Q. And what-- Let's jump back to American Medical Centers
- 22 for a moment. What did you do in the year that you were
- 23 employed there?
- 24 A. I was a computer programmer, an RPG programmer.
- 25 Q. RPG programmer. Would you explain what RPG programming

- 1 is?
- 2 A. RPG is just a language, Report Program Generator, and
- 3 basically I wrote programs using that language.
- 4 Q. And then a year later you joined HMS?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And what was your job when you joined HMS?
- 7 A. Same thing, I basically went to work there doing computer
- 8 programming as well.
- 9 Q. When was HMS formed?
- 10 A. Well, I went to work there in April of '85, and they had
- 11 been in business roughly about a year, I believe, sometime in
- 12 '84, I think, is when they started.
- 13 Q. Who started HMS?
- 14 A. Tom Givens and I think later John Doss joined Tom with the
- 15 company.
- 16 Q. And when you joined, how many people were there at HMS?
- 17 A. There was probably four or five full-time people including
- 18 Tom Givens and John Doss. There may have been one or two
- 19 part-time people at the time.
- 20 Q. Was your programming work initially at HMS again RPG
- 21 programming?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And how many years experience do you have in RPG
- 24 programming?
- 25 A. I actively programmed probably around twelve years or so

- 1 in different capacities, but programming would be part of my
- 2 responsibilities during that time. I probably-- I stayed in
- 3 programming in some capacity another three or four years after
- 4 that before I moved into a role which-- where my programming
- 5 actively kind of stopped.
- 6 Q. So what would be the total experience with RPG
- 7 programming?
- 8 A. Probably 13 to 15 years, something like that.
- 9 Q. Now, just as a broad question, can you, and this will take
- 10 some time for you to lay out, but can you describe kind of the
- 11 progression that you went through from when you started at HMS
- 12 to when you became president and CEO?
- 13 A. Well, again starting there primarily as a programmer as my
- 14 day-to-day function during those, you know, early years, as in
- 15 any small company, you do just a little bit of everything, I
- 16 programmed, I answered the phones, whatever needed to be done.
- 17 Moving and programming was my primary responsibility, whether
- 18 it be just as a programmer or later programming and maybe
- 19 managing other programmers.
- 20 Somewhere into the early '90s and early to mid '90s,
- 21 we carved out our customer support function, our help desk
- 22 function into a separate group, and I moved over and kind of
- 23 started that particular function, continuing to do some
- 24 programming during that time, but through the mid '90s really
- 25 kind of worked to build up that part of the business.

- 1 Moving more into the late '90s, we separated out an
- 2 implementation function where we had individuals that actually
- 3 went out and installed the systems, and I took over kind of
- 4 building that up and managing that through the late '90s.
- 5 Somewhere around 2000, I was made chief operating
- 6 officer of the company which at that point my, you know, my
- 7 programming in the active way pretty much stopped, and I took
- 8 over management again of the support function, the
- 9 implementation function, but as well managing the development
- 10 or the programming area, but not actively programming. And I
- 11 was COO until, I think, June of 2005, when I became president.
- 12 Q. When you served as the vice president of customer services
- 13 and were running the support installation group, I think you
- 14 said the late 1990s, what was the size of the department that
- 15 you were managing?
- 16 A. Support department, mid to late '90s, we probably had 20
- 17 to 30 people doing probably combination of help desk support as
- 18 well as at that time also doing some implementations. We grew
- 19 the implementation department, particularly in the late '90s
- 20 and most likely-- in the 30 to 40 range in that area during
- 21 that particular time frame. And from the programming
- 22 standpoint, during that period of time, there was again, around
- 23 20 to 25 programmers.
- 24 Q. And then when you became the chief operating officer and
- 25 up until your promotion to president in 2005, what was the size

- 1 of the programming group and the customer support group and the
- 2 installation group?
- 3 A. Programming grew to around 40 to 45, by the time I became
- 4 president it was around that number. Implementation we
- 5 actually grew that particular part of the company through
- 6 2004-2005 to 60 to 70 people or probably around 60. And the
- 7 customer support department stayed in the 30 to 40 range during
- 8 that particular time frame.
- 9 Q. What did the customer support group do?
- 10 A. They basically answered the phone. Our customers, if a
- 11 customer has a question or a problem about the software or how
- 12 to use something, then they called our help desk or customer
- 13 support department and they take the phone calls and try to
- 14 help the customer.
- 15 Q. What is the total employment of HMS today?
- 16 A. We are around 375 employees today.
- 17 Q. Mr. Stephenson, I asked you in preparation for today to
- 18 investigate the number of HMS's installations of software
- 19 packages including your medical records module since January of
- 20 2002.
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Did you do that?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And how many have there been?
- 25 A. 143.

- 1 Q. And I also asked you to investigate the number going back
- 2 to 1998, did you do that?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And what is that number?
- 5 A. Well, from '98 to the end of 2001, there was an additional
- 6 72.
- 7 Q. And so the total number?
- 8 A. I knew you were going to ask me that.
- 9 Q. What did you say?
- 10 A. 143 and 72.
- 11 Q. I get about 215?
- 12 A. Yes. That's correct.
- 13 MR. KAY: Okay. Now, and this relates, your Honor,
- 14 to the Thomas motion.
- 15 THE COURT: Let's make sure I understand the
- 16 testimony. The total number of installs from January of '02 to
- 17 date is 143?
- 18 THE WITNESS: It was from January of '02 through 9/30
- 19 of '07.
- THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
- 21 BY MR. KAY:
- 22 Q. And is there a reason for that date, the cut off date?
- 23 A. Correct.
- ${\tt 24}~{\tt Q.}~{\tt And~I}$ should have asked you about that. What is the
- 25 reason for that being the cut off date?

- 1 A. We rewrote the medical records application, and October
- 2 1st of '07 is when that was released to the client base.
- 3 Q. In Mr. Thomas' expert report, the January 2007 report,
- 4 which is the last supplemental report we have, he used
- 5 installations to calculate damages based on licensing fees, and
- 6 then damages based on what he concluded were profits of HMS
- 7 attributable to the alleged infringement of Goldman's medical
- 8 records programs. And he went-- he used 358 in one instance
- 9 and he used 462 in a second situation. He went all the way
- 10 back to 1986, according to his report.
- 11 Are you familiar with all of this?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Okay. Now, if you went all the way back to 1986, would
- 14 those numbers of installations, 358 and 462, would that be a
- 15 correct assumption on Mr. Thomas' part?
- 16 A. I don't believe so, no.
- 17 Q. And why?
- 18 A. Well, for two or three factors. One, not all of our
- 19 installs from '86 forward purchased medical records,
- 20 particularly behavioral hospitals did not purchase medical
- 21 records, especially early on.
- 22 We also have corporate clients that kind of fall into
- 23 the numbers, corporate entities that may own multiple
- 24 hospitals, and the corporate entity itself does not use medical
- 25 records. They may use our general financials, our general

- 1 ledger account or payroll or accounts payable, but they do not
- 2 use the medical records applications. And currently we have, I
- 3 think, 30 of those in our client base.
- 4 Another factor is we have, one of our customers that
- 5 has what we term an enterprise license for our base
- 6 application, so as they add hospitals, they do not pay a
- 7 license fee for the additional hospitals that they add. So I
- 8 think all of those would have to be taken into account.
- 9 Q. In that particular instance, how many installations are
- 10 there covered by one license?
- 11 A. I believe for that particular one there is 85 since that
- 12 enterprise license went into effect.
- 13 Q. And so under one, if I'm understanding this right, under
- 14 one license and one fee, there is a perpetual license for as
- 15 many installations as they want?
- 16 A. That is correct.
- 17 Q. Okay. There is also a reference in Mr. Thomas' report to
- 18 a mammography program and he uses it in his analysis. Are you
- 19 familiar with that program?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Would you tell us about it?
- 22 A. It's essentially a mammography reporting system and it's a
- 23 software application that a hospital uses to track
- 24 mammographies that are done at the hospital and to provide
- 25 reporting, and some level of governmental reporting around

- 1 those particular tests.
- 2 Q. What does the mammography program that you use have
- 3 anything to do with the medical records module of HMS?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Do you license the mammography program? In other words,
- 6 pay them a license fee?
- 7 A. We do not license that particular product. We are
- 8 essentially a re-seller of the product. We essentially sell
- 9 their product for them.
- 10 Q. And compared to the-- Well, strike that.
- 11 How many installations have-- has HMS installed the
- 12 mammography programming?
- 13 A. I believe the number is 53.
- 14 Q. And how does that compare with the number of installations
- 15 of your medical records module?
- 16 A. Well, there's obviously many more medical records
- 17 installations.
- 18 Q. Why are there so few installations of the mammography
- 19 program?
- 20 A. Well, that particular product is a fairly specialized
- 21 clinical product, that it is really relevant in instances where
- 22 hospitals have a fairly high volume of-- do a high volume of
- 23 mammographies, and our client base that is not, you know, not
- 24 that prevalent so we don't have a lot of clients that really
- 25 have a need for that particular product.

- 1 Q. Does HMS install the mammography program?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. Do you maintain it?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Do you update it?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. Do you service it?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. You referenced a few minutes ago the date of September 30,
- 10 2007, when you implemented a rewritten medical records module?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. What was the objective or objectives of that project?
- 13 A. Well, our initial objective was-- or our initial intent
- 14 was simply to remove any question about any alleged
- 15 infringement that there might be on that particular module.
- 16 Q. Is that all the program or is that all the project
- 17 actually undertook to do?
- 18 A. No, we basically, in going through the process to do that,
- 19 decided that while we were doing that we would take the time to
- 20 do several other things, one was just to enhance some
- 21 functionality in the product itself. We get a lot of requests
- 22 from the customers to do things in the applications, so we took
- 23 the time to improve work flow, to add some functionality into
- 24 the product that wasn't there. We also took that opportunity
- 25 to allow for some impending governmental regulation changes

- 1 that are coming in the next couple of years, particularly
- 2 around coding, which would require some changes to the system
- 3 and so we kind of did all of that together in the one project.
- 4 Q. Was the project completed effective September 30, 2007?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And when we say completed or you say completed, what was
- 7 it then implemented, I mean installed?
- 8 A. We distributed it at that time to all of our customers.
- 9 Q. I asked you to determine the total cost of that rewrite
- 10 project, have you done so?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 O. And what is it?
- 13 A. It was approximately \$329,000.
- 14 Q. Now, the cost of the \$329,000 was for just the rewriting
- 15 of the medical records module or was that also to increase the
- 16 functionalities of the software and to update for government
- 17 changes?
- 18 A. It was for all of that. It covered all of that, yes.
- 19 Q. And that's today's money?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Can you split it up by one, allocate it somehow between
- 22 the rewrite to avoid the alleged infringement on medical
- 23 records versus the other aspects of the project?
- 24 A. Not really. It would be very difficult because we would
- 25 be essentially doing several things at one time when we went in

- 1 to maybe modify a particular program, so it would be kind of
- 2 difficult to split that out.
- 3 Q. Could HMS have rewritten the medical records module in
- 4 1986 or 1987?
- 5 A. Certainly.
- 6 Q. To avoid any claim of alleged infringement?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, I'm going to interpose an
- 9 objection here. I don't know how this relates to Mr. Jackson's
- 10 or Mr. Thomas' report. It appears to be getting into
- 11 plaintiff's motion to exclude rewrite testimony. None of this
- 12 is relevant to this proceeding, and frankly, none of the
- 13 rewrite-- we filed a motion that none of the rewrite evidence
- 14 is relevant to damages. So I understand the jury is not here.
- 15 I, you know, for the Court to understand things, if I could
- 16 not -- if I could pose an objection and then not have anybody
- 17 argue later on that I've waive my right to object because the
- 18 testimony is coming in in this proceeding, I object to the jury
- 19 hearing any of this stuff, but if the Court wishes to hear it
- 20 to get a more complete understanding of these motions, I guess
- 21 it's okay. I don't see how it's relevant, however.
- 22 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Kay, what is your theory
- 23 that this is relevant to the Daubert motion issue?
- 24 MR. KAY: The Daubert motion, I think, it's Paragraph
- 25 2D of the Thomas motion, deals specifically with whatever

- 1 actions -- alternative actions HMS has undertaken to avoid
- 2 infringement it's pled, this goes directly to it, the rewrite
- 3 costs, and other actions that HMS have taken are highly
- 4 relevant to that issue that was pled in the original Daubert
- 5 motion.
- 6 THE COURT: All right. If I recall, in the papers,
- 7 your argument is that the plaintiff's experts did not take this
- 8 into account.
- 9 MR. KAY: Absolutely, your Honor, did not consider
- 10 option-- did not consider the steps that had been taken, many
- 11 steps that had been taken to avoid infringement.
- 12 THE COURT: Mr. Smith, go ahead.
- 13 MR. SMITH: It's our expert did not consider many
- 14 many many things, whether it was a full moon when this
- 15 happened. But the fact that he did not consider the rewrite
- 16 costs does not make it any more relevant. It's defendants have
- 17 moved to exclude Mr. Thomas' report, and are using this Daubert
- 18 hearing to inject this rewrite issue to somehow give it more
- 19 prominence or credibility, and apart from their motion saying
- 20 that they failed to-- that Mr. Thomas failed to include rewrite
- 21 costs, again that should have no more weight than the
- 22 defendants failed to include that the moon was in the lunar
- 23 phase on this date. It's just not relevant.
- 24 THE COURT: That latter point wouldn't go to the
- 25 calculation of damages, would it?

- 1 MR. SMITH: Well, neither, in plaintiff's opinion,
- 2 does whether or not the rewrite costs were specifically
- 3 included. But notwithstanding that, I would just like a ruling
- 4 from the Court that because it comes in this proceeding doesn't
- 5 mean it's admissible at trial.
- 6 THE COURT: Well, that's fine. I'll reserve on
- 7 that. I'll take the testimony on the issue before me as to the
- 8 Daubert motion, because I think I understand the defendants'
- 9 theory here as to why this may or may not be admissible, and
- 10 I-- but I take your point, Mr. Smith, that this might be a
- 11 weight issue as opposed to anything else. So although I
- 12 recognize you've got an umbrella legal argument that it's not
- 13 relevant in any case. But for purposes of this hearing only
- 14 I'll take this testimony.
- Go ahead, Mr. Kay.
- MR. KAY: Thank you, your Honor.
- 17 BY MR. KAY:
- 18 Q. What would have been the differences between doing the
- 19 rewrite of the medical records program in 1986 or 1987 versus
- 20 doing it in 2007?
- 21 A. It would have been a fraction of the cost and the time to
- rewrite the code in '86 or '87 as compared to today.
- 23 Q. Why?
- 24 A. Just the volume of programs today and the complexity of
- 25 the programs today versus what was there in '86 or '87.

- 1 Q. Mr. Thomas has also included in his report, at the end of
- 2 his report, some calculations, basically under the Digital
- 3 Millennium Copyright Act. I'll bet you don't know what that
- 4 is?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. But it's based on an assumption that he makes that an
- 7 update is a violation of the copyright laws, and that every
- 8 update you make, under his assumption, is a violation of the
- 9 copyright laws, and I want to get some facts some underlying
- 10 facts in that regard.
- 11 First of all, what is involved in an update? I
- 12 assume that's an event that happens after installation of the
- 13 system?
- 14 A. Correct. We typically enhance our product, change the
- 15 product, you know, over the course of a year, over the course
- 16 of time, and so our customers receive those enhancements or
- 17 updates periodically from us, and we consider that a release or
- 18 an update to the product.
- 19 THE COURT: Can you give me a concrete example?
- 20 THE WITNESS: Well, there's lots of different things,
- 21 but for example, last year, the government changed the billing
- 22 requirements for billing for hospitals to produce bills for
- 23 patients. That required us to modify our billing programs to
- 24 allow for the new billing requirements, and so we make those
- 25 programming changes and then we distribute those to the

- 1 clients.
- 2 BY MR. KAY:
- 3 Q. Does an update involve adding new source code?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Is that fundamentally what happens?
- 6 A. Yes, we are adding code, we may take code away, we may
- 7 delete code, it just depends on the particular functionality or
- 8 what we are doing.
- 9 Q. Now, Mr. Thomas has used in his calculations the 358 and
- 10 462 installations going all the way back to 1986 that he
- 11 assumes was correct. When you did updates in '98 or 2004 or
- 12 2007, did you-- did every update involve a republication, a
- 13 reinstallation of the medical records-- of your medical records
- 14 module?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. Did updates relate to other modules?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. How many other modules are there?
- 19 A. We have got roughly 35 particular what we would call
- 20 applications or modules.
- 21 Q. Of which the medical records module would be one?
- 22 A. One, yes.
- 23 Q. All right. So when you did an update that didn't have
- 24 anything to do with medical records, you wouldn't be
- 25 republishing or reinstalling medical records?

- 1 A. That is correct.
- 2 Q. Now, did you have some updates that involved your medical
- 3 records modules?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And when you did an update you added a code?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Source code?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Did you ever-- When you did that, did you add, republish
- 10 any of Mr. Goldman's software?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. When you did an updated medical records module, did you
- 13 republish the whole reinstall, the whole medical records
- 14 module?
- 15 A. No. We only would update programs that were changed. So
- 16 if there's 800 programs in medical records and we changed one
- 17 for a-- for whatever reason, then that one would be the only
- one that we would publish or release to the client.
- 19 Q. And how many medical records programs were there before
- you rewrote your program?
- 21 A. I believe there was 871.
- 22 Q. 871. We looked earlier today at the folder from
- 23 Mr. Goldman to Mr. Jacobson, and it had 822 medical records
- 24 programs for HMS in 2005?
- 25 A. Correct.

- 1 Q. Would that seem correct to you?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And are you paid for the updates?
- 4 A. No, it's-- Essentially it's a part of the maintenance fee
- 5 that the customers pay us, a monthly-- they pay a monthly fee
- 6 which includes the support or the help desk support we give
- 7 them, and it also includes any enhancements or updates to the
- 8 product.
- 9 Q. Mr. Thomas' calculations assume that there would be two
- 10 updates a year for every installation, the 358 or the 462, and
- 11 that there would be some violation of the copyright laws
- 12 related to Mr. Goldman's medical records programs. Would you
- 13 agree or disagree with that assumption?
- 14 A. Well, I would disagree. We-- there is not always two
- 15 updates a year, sometimes there is, but there is many times
- 16 there is not. And as I said earlier, we are not always
- 17 updating medical records or the entirety of medical records
- 18 when we distribute those enhancements.
- 19 Q. When you make an update, one update, does it go to all
- 20 your installations?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Mr. Thomas assumed apparently in his calculations that
- 23 every time there was an update, two times a year for however--
- 24 how many years for ever how many installations then multiplied,
- 25 if you know what I mean, that every update would involve a

- 1 reinstallation of Goldman's or republication of Mr. Goldman's
- 2 programs. I gather you would not agree with that?
- 3 A. Well, again, we would only publish or deliver programs
- 4 that changed. So if nothing was changed, then those programs
- 5 would not get distributed again.
- 6 MR. KAY: This is a logical point, your Honor.
- 7 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Great. We will break
- 8 for lunch. We will resume at 2:00 o'clock.
- 9 Mr. Stephenson, you may step down for now, sir.
- 10 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 11 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 12 COURT CLERK: All rise.
- 13 Court is in recess.
- 14 (At 12:39 p.m., recess.)
- 15 (At 2:00 p.m., proceedings continued.)
- 16 THE COURT: Be seated. Thank you.
- 17 All right. We are back on the record in 05-35.
- 18 Mr. Stephenson, if you want to resume the stand.
- 19 BY MR. KAY:
- 20 Q. Mr. Stephenson, at my request, did you review the Jacobson
- 21 CD?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And this is Exhibit 1, the Binder 1-- no, Binder 2, excuse
- 24 me, Tab 6, and the materials in it relate to the Goldman
- 25 program 1979-1988 folder that was given to Mr. Jacobson?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And one page lists out those programs and then there is
- 3 two inches of programs attached to that?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Have you reviewed each of those programs?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Are those programs what are, in fact, contained in the
- 8 Goldman program folder 1979-1988?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. This is Tab 7, Binder 1, Exhibit 1. And Tab 7 is the
- 11 opening windows of HMS programs from the Goldman CD to
- 12 Mr. Jacobson and of a subset QRPGLESRC, have you also looked at
- 13 this in the Goldman CD?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. And it has a list of five or six pages of those programs.
- 16 Do you recognize the titles on those?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 O. What are those?
- 19 A. Those are the HMS medical records programs.
- 20 $\,$ Q. And I told the Court that there were 822 of those. Does
- 21 that square with your count too?
- 22 A. Yes. From what is on the CD, yes.
- 23 Q. And finally, Tab 8 of Exhibit 1 is another folder from the
- 24 Goldman CD to Mr. Jacobson. Under programs is a subfolder
- 25 detail service, and a subfolder with HMRY50 in it, and then

- 1 that program, that HMRY50, is presented. Have you also looked
- 2 into the Goldman CD and confirmed that this information is
- 3 accurate?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 MR. SMITH: Objection, I don't understand the
- 6 question, confirm it's accurate. What does that mean?
- 7 MR. KAY: It means this is what is in the Goldman CD.
- 8 MR. SMITH: Okay. No objection. I withdraw it.
- 9 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 10 BY MR. KAY:
- 11 Q. Mr. Stephenson, I asked you when you made your inspection
- 12 of the Goldman CD to determine whether there were dates on the
- 13 programs in the 1979-88 folder?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And did you find dates?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 MR. SMITH: Objection, your Honor.
- 18 I think the witness is veering into testimony that's
- 19 not permitted. It is inadmissible under Rule 701.
- 20 Mr. Stephenson has been tendered as a lay witness, and he is
- 21 not about to testify regarding things that are within the
- 22 common understanding of people-- within the common
- 23 understanding of a jury.
- 24 We filed-- In our witness list, we filed-- we cited
- 25 Rule 701. We also cited the Sixth Circuit case United States

- 1 vs. Ganier, 468 F.3d 920, and we cited United States vs. White,
- 2 492 F.3d 380. Both of these cases stand for the proposition
- 3 that a person-- that an expert, beg your pardon, a lay witness
- 4 is not permitted to testify regarding extrinsic evidence. They
- 5 are only permitted to testify regarding things within their
- 6 personal knowledge. People who-- When a lay witness is
- 7 solicited to express expert opinion testimony, the Sixth
- 8 Circuit has repeatedly ruled again and again that it's a
- 9 subterfuge to get around the requirements of Rule 26, and
- 10 disclosing expert testimony pursuant to the rule.
- 11 Mr. Stephenson has not submitted an expert report.
- 12 He has not been tendered as an expert witness. We have not had
- 13 an opportunity to examine him as an expert witness. So the
- 14 only thing that this Court should permit him to testify to are
- 15 his facts within his own personal knowledge, irrespective of
- 16 what he may have reviewed in terms of extrinsic evidence.
- 17 THE COURT: Go ahead, sir.
- 18 MR. KAY: Your Honor, expert testimony is governed by
- 19 702. And expert testimony under 702 relates to the giving of
- 20 opinions. "If scientific, technical or other specialized
- 21 knowledge will assist the trier of fact to understand the
- 22 evidence or determine a fact issue, a witness qualified as an
- 23 expert by knowledge, skill, experience, training or education
- 24 may testify thereto in the form of an opinion."
- 25 Mr. Stephenson is not testifying in the form of an

- 1 opinion. Mr. Stephenson is testifying as to a matter of fact.
- 2 He is also taking a CD, putting it into a computer, even I can
- 3 do that, in fact, I have, and opening it up and looking at what
- 4 is in there. And he is certainly testifying as to facts, not
- 5 opinions.
- 6 This information will be very helpful to the Court.
- 7 I can understand why Mr. Smith does not want this information
- 8 to come in. This is a matter of fact from a CD that his client
- 9 provided his expert and that we obtained through discovery, and
- 10 what this testimony relates to is what is on that CD.
- MR. SMITH: If I may, your Honor.
- 12 THE COURT: Sure.
- 13 MR. SMITH: Here is what the Sixth Circuit would
- 14 respond to Mr. Kay. "Witnesses who perform after-the-fact
- 15 investigations are generally not allowed to apply specialized
- 16 knowledge in giving lay testimony." That is the law. Those
- 17 are the rules. And Mr. Kay is trying to circumvent those
- 18 rules. And if it's so easy to open up the file and look at
- 19 what is in there, then fine, he should be ready to do that. He
- 20 should not be using a witness to get in testimony that is
- 21 extrinsic. This witness has no personal knowledge of these
- 22 files, none whatsoever. If they are so simple, in fact, I
- 23 think Mr. Kay has done a very fine job of laboriously going
- 24 through every single file that was presented to Mr. Jacobson.
- 25 I don't see the need to do it twice. If what's in the files is

- 1 what it is, as Mr. Kay represents, then why do we need another
- 2 witness to again go through, what is it going to take, another
- 3 two hours, to go through and identify exactly what was in
- 4 Exhibit -- Tab 7 here. It's not necessary.
- 5 And number two, it's certainly prohibitive for him to
- 6 offer any -- to apply any specialized knowledge, any specialized
- 7 knowledge to Tab 6 of Defense Exhibit 1.
- 8 MR. KAY: His expert isn't here. If his expert was
- 9 here, I could put the CD in, in front of him, have him open it
- 10 up, which doesn't require any specialized knowledge at all-- my
- 11 grandchildren could do it, and do do it-- and look and see what
- 12 is in there and confirm the dates on each of these programs.
- 13 THE COURT: Now, when you say the dates on the
- 14 programs, what do you mean?
- 15 MR. KAY: Actually that was the next question I was
- 16 going to ask.
- 17 BY MR. KAY:
- 18 Q. There is-- You found last written dates?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. What is a last written date?
- 21 A. It's actually the date modified, which is the last date
- 22 that that particular document or whatever it is on a CD or
- 23 computer was changed.
- 24 THE COURT: And, Mr. Smith, your concern is?
- 25 MR. SMITH: My concern is that Mr. Stephenson is

- 1 going to veer into the substance of the reports themselves -- or
- 2 not the reports, the programs themselves that Mr. Goldman
- 3 produced. He is going to discuss things that are within the
- 4 programs, based on his background. He's testified earlier this
- 5 morning that he was a programmer, that he programmed patient
- 6 accounting. I think he said he had twelve years of programming
- 7 experience where he was directly involved in programming.
- 8 It is not permitted under the rules for him to apply
- 9 his specialized expertise as a programmer to things that he
- 10 finds in extrinsic evidence without complying with Rule 26, of
- 11 producing a report, and without being listed as an expert
- 12 witness.
- 13 Now, as Mr. Kay said, his grandchild may be able to
- 14 open up a file and look at a creation date. I will permit-- or
- 15 I will not object, I should say, I will not object to the
- 16 witness testifying as to what he observed as the creation
- 17 date. I would not object to the witness testifying as to what
- 18 he might be able to see when he reviews open, you know,
- 19 properties, for example, in a PC based, Windows based system,
- 20 you can look at properties of different files, but I would
- 21 strongly object to his applying his knowledge to any substance
- 22 within Mr. Goldman's source code.
- 23 THE COURT: We are at the point of last written
- 24 dates. Do you object to the last written dates?
- 25 MR. SMITH: So long as they are in the form of what a

- 1 normal everyday person could understand and access, I do not
- 2 object to it.
- 3 MR. KAY: Okay.
- 4 THE COURT: All right. Does anybody object to me
- 5 being a reasonable person? That would be a fairly low
- 6 standard.
- 7 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, I don't know if that's a
- 8 compliment if I call you normal, but I don't object.
- 9 THE COURT: No, it's intended to disclose my
- 10 ineptitude as far as computers is concerned.
- 11 All right. So it sounds to me, Mr. Smith, you are
- 12 not objecting to this line of questioning as it relates to
- 13 determinations of last written dates, or I think you said
- 14 creation dates as well. Is that-- Am I capturing your point
- 15 or not? As long as your average user would be able to glean
- 16 that information.
- 17 MR. SMITH: That is correct, with one follow-up.
- 18 I will be, on cross examination, I don't know what
- 19 Mr. Stephenson's experience or familiarity is with PC based
- 20 systems. I don't know if he understands what happens when
- 21 files are transferred from different electronic media to PCs.
- 22 I don't know if he understands the finer points of how clocks
- 23 work within personal computers. These are all fairly technical
- 24 things that affect the creation date and last written date that
- 25 appears on a file stamp. So I don't know what his background

- 1 is with respect to all of that.
- 2 So with my-- The caveat is that he may give testimony
- 3 as a lay witness that raises more questions than it answers.
- 4 And when I attempt to cross examine him on that, he may delve
- 5 into expert testimony. And so to make myself clear, his giving
- 6 of lay testimony, based on what Mr. Kay's grandchild could
- 7 ascertain--
- 8 THE COURT: We will use that as a standard, okay.
- 9 MR. KAY: She's bright.
- 10 THE COURT: I have no doubt.
- 11 MR. SMITH: But it's a bit of a subtle point, your
- 12 Honor. But as he answers questions that on their face appear
- 13 to be straight forward, it does raise issues that lead to a
- 14 more technical question, a more technical inquiry, and so if on
- 15 cross examination --
- 16 Are you with me, Judge?
- 17 THE COURT: Um-hmm.
- 18 MR. SMITH: If on cross examination I do get into a
- 19 little bit more of the technical aspects of that on cross, and
- 20 if that opens the door to him testifying about whatever, then I
- 21 would object to any examination of creation dates or last
- 22 written dates.
- Is that-- Did I make myself clear?
- THE COURT: No, I understand what you're saying.
- Mr. Kay, what is your reaction to that?

- 1 MR. KAY: I don't understand. It sounds to me like
- 2 he wants to ask questions about expertise, but he doesn't want
- 3 any of the answers. I don't know what to make of it. I'm just
- 4 trying -- I'm just trying to get to these dates. Can't we just
- 5 go ahead?
- 6 THE COURT: Well, and what Mr. Smith, I appreciate
- 7 the argument, and he wants to make sure that the witness's
- 8 testimony is not based on any technical expertise he may have,
- 9 but is only based on what the reasonable grandchild could glean
- 10 from looking at the disk and looking at the information.
- MR. KAY: Okay.
- 12 MR. SMITH: And the follow-up point, your Honor,
- 13 would be on cross examination, if he does delve into a bit more
- 14 of a technical explanation, which I anticipate he will. I
- 15 presume he is familiar with personal computers and Microsoft
- 16 DOS. If he does delve into some of those aspects, then that is
- 17 the end of it. Those aspects should not open the door to his
- 18 testifying about all the finer attributes of Mr. Goldman's
- 19 source code just because he is answering some questions on a
- 20 technical basis.
- 21 So it's a two part-- it's a qualified objection, I
- 22 guess, is the right way to put it.
- 23 THE COURT: All right. Why don't we proceed from
- 24 there. And I take it your cross examination would be along the
- 25 lines to make sure that he's not utilized his expertise in

- 1 delineating -- in coming up with his testimony based on his
- 2 review of the creation dates and the last written dates.
- 3 MR. SMITH: Here would be a typical cross question,
- 4 your Honor.
- 5 THE COURT: Okay.
- 6 MR. SMITH: Mr. Stephenson, is it not true that these
- 7 creation dates can be altered when certain files are copied
- 8 from one media to another? And that the common person doesn't
- 9 know, but Mr. Stephenson might know that answer.
- 10 Mr. Stephenson, is it true that when files are first
- 11 created back in the early 1980s, system clocks were less prone
- 12 to accuracy than they are today. You know, that is the clock
- 13 that applies the stamp to the file. So these are all fairly
- 14 technical questions.
- 15 That would be the sort of questions I would get into
- 16 on cross examination.
- 17 THE COURT: Okay.
- 18 MR. SMITH: If I could put this into big context. I
- 19 believe what defendants are trying to do, is they are trying to
- 20 look at the creation dates, the stamped dates that are on some
- 21 of these files, and wave around a 1979 creation date with
- 22 perhaps a later copyright notice on it and say, you see how
- 23 messed up this is. This is so messed up, you know, this
- 24 creation date doesn't match what is in the substance of the
- 25 file. This doesn't make any sense with respect to this.

- 1 That's what they are going to try to prove, and it's all
- 2 premised on the accuracy of these creation dates, which are
- 3 notoriously inaccurate on-- especially on these old computers.
- 4 And so that is where defendant is going, your Honor,
- 5 and that is why I think all of this, we should not delve into
- 6 with a lay witness, because it's inevitably probably-- well,
- 7 it's a contradiction. It probably will delve into testimony
- 8 that requires Mr. Stephenson to go into an explanation on how
- 9 system clocks worked, how they may be inaccurate, and I don't
- 10 have the benefit of his expert report on that.
- 11 So I will stand by my qualified objection saying if
- 12 he wants to testify regarding creation dates, I quess that's
- 13 okay. I just present for the Court that I do not want this
- 14 examination to start delving into a big expert examination on
- 15 when these files were actually created.
- 16 THE COURT: Okay. With that, Mr. Kay, are there any
- 17 other subject matters of this genre that you wish to go into
- 18 with Mr. Stephenson?
- 19 MR. KAY: I'm going to go into two folders and get
- 20 these dates.
- THE COURT: Okay.
- 22 MR. KAY: Out of them with him, and he is only going
- 23 to be testifying about what he sees there, and I think he is
- 24 probably going to agree with me that my ten-year-old
- 25 granddaughter would have the technical ability to go in and get

- 1 this information.
- 2 BY MR. KAY:
- 3 Q. True?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 MR. KAY: Okay. Now, he wasn't required to file an
- 6 expert report. Only hired for the purposes of litigation are
- 7 experts, and he is not testifying as an expert in this regard
- 8 now required to file a report. That's under Rule 26. Okay.
- 9 THE COURT: Okay. Well, let's go ahead.
- 10 MR. KAY: He can ask whatever questions he wants of
- 11 this witness. If I don't like them, I'll object to them. But
- 12 he is going to ask whatever he wants, and I invite him to do
- 13 that. That's just fine, but ask away.
- 14 THE COURT: All right. Well, why don't we take,
- 15 let's take the questions and the answers and we will take it
- 16 from there.
- MR. KAY: Okay.
- 18 BY MR. KAY:
- 19 Q. What is Exhibit 3?
- 20 A. This is a listing of the file names from the 1979-1988
- 21 directory off the CD.
- 22 Q. Does this Exhibit 3 contain all the information on it? Is
- 23 this information that you observed from opening the CD and
- 24 looking?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And this relates to the Goldman 1979-1988 directory?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And the last written date on the right side again means
- 4 what?
- 5 A. It's the date the file was last modified or changed.
- 6 Q. Were there creation dates in there that you could find?
- 7 A. I don't remember seeing those.
- 8 Q. Okay. We are going to correct a mistake that I made in my
- 9 presentation this morning now with the Judge.
- 10 MR. KAY: I move the admission of Defendants' Exhibit
- 11 3.
- MR. SMITH: No objection.
- 13 THE COURT: Exhibit 3 is received.
- 14 MR. KAY: Your Honor, have you still got your binder,
- 15 tab-- Binder 2 with the Tab 6?
- 16 THE COURT: I have it.
- 17 MR. KAY: And if you would turn to the very last two
- 18 programs that are in there UGDRGs.
- Tom, I've opened those.
- THE COURT: Way towards the back?
- 21 MR. KAY: It's way at the back, it's the very last
- 22 two.
- 23 THE COURT: All right. About this much.
- MR. KAY: Is it UGDRG?
- THE COURT: The top line is 00011H 64.

- 1 MR. KAY: That's one of them. And then the one
- 2 before that should be another UGDRG.
- 3 THE COURT: I've got HMRY80 is the next immediate one
- 4 towards the front.
- 5 MR. KAY: Okay. I'm sorry. I stand corrected.
- 6 If you go back to the first one. This is a program
- 7 without a copyright notice, the UGDRG without a copyright
- 8 notice at the top?
- 9 THE COURT: I see no copyright notation.
- MR. KAY: Okay.
- 11 BY MR. KAY:
- 12 Q. What was the date, the last written date of that program?
- 13 A. That particular one is 12/31/79.
- 14 MR. KAY: The point being that the UGDRG program that
- 15 was filed with the copyright office in 2004 had a full and
- 16 complete copyright notice, copyright Joel Goldman 1979. This
- one, which is dated December 31, 1979, has no copyright
- 18 notice.
- 19 If you would then turn to the next program at the
- 20 very back of that tab, it's another UGDRG program.
- THE COURT: This is the ".txt"?
- MR. KAY: That is correct, your Honor.
- THE COURT: Okay. I've got that one.
- 24 MR. KAY: This one-- I said this morning this one
- 25 did not have a copyright notice to you, and I was wrong. I

- 1 mis-spoke myself, it does. In fact, it has a full copyright
- 2 notice.
- 3 BY MR. KAY:
- 4 Q. What is the last written date for this program?
- 5 A. That program UGDRGN.txt was 9/2/04.
- 6 Q. September 2 of '04, it has a full copyright notice.
- 7 Tab 5 of Binder 1 is the copyright registration, and
- 8 the date of that is five days later, September 7, 2004, it
- 9 contains UGDRG with the full copyright notice. The point again
- 10 being that program with the last written date UGDRG, without
- 11 any copyright, is dated 12/31/79. The UGDRG with the full
- 12 copyright is dated September 2, 2004, five days before
- 13 Mr. Goldman filed his copyright notice for registration.
- What is Exhibit 4?
- 15 A. This is a listing of the files from the directory 1989 to
- 16 1997 from the CD.
- 17 Q. Are you familiar with all of the information that's set
- 18 forth in this exhibit?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And is that based on your review of the Goldman CD to
- 21 Mr. Jacobson?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. This is from 1989-97 folder?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. There are three abstract related files at the top, did you

- 1 confirm they had no copyright notice?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. There are three?
- 4 MR. SMITH: Objection, your Honor. That is-- I'm not
- 5 sure that Mr. Kay's grandchild could do that. He opened a file
- 6 and he was reviewing the source code. I think review of source
- 7 code is beyond the realm of a typical lay juror, your Honor.
- 8 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 9 BY MR. KAY:
- 10 Q. Did the three files up there have copyright Joel Goldman
- 11 1979 on them?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 MR. SMITH: Again, your Honor, this is getting into a
- 14 review of the substance of the source code, and we have not had
- 15 an expert report on this. Anybody-- if he wants to display
- 16 these files and show that they, you know, their contents so
- 17 that we could all see them, fine. But to get this information
- 18 in through an expert witness-- well, a lay witness who is
- 19 sitting there asking him opinions on the contents of source
- 20 code, it's, I do not believe it's proper.
- 21 MR. KAY: I'm not asking about the source code. I'm
- 22 asking about whether they had a copyright notice on them.
- 23 THE COURT: Well, in terms of what would be shown on
- 24 the screen?
- MR. KAY: Yes, sir.

- 1 THE COURT: As opposed to relying on any computer
- 2 expertise as to why something is or isn't there.
- 3 MR. KAY: Absolutely.
- 4 THE COURT: Are you troubled by that, Mr. Smith?
- 5 MR. SMITH: I don't know whether any of this is
- 6 true. I mean I don't have these programs in front of me. It
- 7 just seems to me an odd way of going about it to have a
- 8 nonexpert testifying regarding contents of programs that aren't
- 9 even before the Court.
- 10 MR. KAY: The CD comes from his client.
- 11 MR. SMITH: I'm sorry, Mr. Kay, if I could.
- 12 MR. KAY: I'm sorry, I thought you were done. I
- 13 apologize.
- 14 MR. SMITH: That's all right.
- 15 My understanding was that there were a lot more files
- 16 in this file folder, but I could be mistaken. I thought this
- 17 '89 to '97 directory had a large number of files, so this
- 18 whole thrust of the examination is troubling me.
- To answer the Court's question, I--
- 20 THE COURT: If I opened the CD and presume-- let's
- 21 stretch my expertise, that I could open the program and view
- 22 the screen.
- 23 MR. SMITH: I'm sure-- I'm confident you could do
- 24 that, Judge.
- 25 THE COURT: All right. If I understand the breadth

- 1 of Mr. Kay's question, that is all he is asking this witness.
- 2 Is whether an average computer user who could open the program
- 3 and view the screen, whether under those circumstances the
- 4 copyright notice would be present on the screen.
- 5 MR. SMITH: If that's as far as he is going, then--
- 6 THE COURT: Well, let's confirm that with Mr. Kay,
- 7 because that's where I thought we were headed.
- 8 MR. KAY: That's exactly what I did.
- 9 THE COURT: Okay. So your questions are confined to
- 10 what your grandchild, if the person had the ability to open the
- 11 program, could look at the screen and observe?
- MR. KAY: Yes, sir.
- 13 THE COURT: Okay.
- 14 BY MR. KAY:
- 15 Q. Do you understand that, Mr. Stephenson?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. There are three HMR medical records Goldman's
- 18 medical records programs on this whole list at the bottom. Do
- 19 you see any copyright notice for those?
- 20 A. No, sir.
- 21 Q. And how many of these 1989 to 1997 Goldman programs
- 22 actually had copyrights?
- 23 A. I believe there were two.
- 24 Q. That's on the second page?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Thank you.
- 2 MR. KAY: Move admission of Exhibit 4, your Honor.
- 3 THE COURT: Mr. Smith.
- 4 MR. SMITH: If Mr. Kay-- I don't want to voir dire
- 5 his witness, but could he confirm for me who prepared this and
- 6 whether it reflects a complete listing of all everything in the
- 7 directory.
- 8 BY MR. KAY:
- 9 Q. Who did you get it from, Mr. Stephenson?
- 10 A. I got it from you.
- 11 Q. And when I gave it to you, did I ask you to check all of
- 12 the information and compare it against what was in the CD?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Did I do that for you?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. Could I-- No, never mind.
- 17 A. Your grandchild could, but.
- MR. KAY: That's all, your Honor.
- 19 MR. SMITH: No objection.
- THE COURT: Four is received.
- 21 BY MR. KAY:
- 22 Q. Now, in preparation for today's hearing, I also asked you
- 23 as the chief executive officer and president and former RPG
- 24 programmer of HMS to determine the total number of programs in
- 25 the HMS medical records module before it was rewritten, did you

- 1 do that?
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- 3 Q. How many are there?
- 4 A. Off the top of my head, I don't recall.
- 5 How many programs or?
- 6 Q. Yes. I asked you to look also at how many-- what the
- 7 number of source code lines were, did you do that?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And I asked you to look at the total number of programs in
- 10 the entire HMS software integrated package.
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And I asked you to determine also the total number of
- 13 lines of code in the total HMS software package?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Did you compile something that would help you refresh your
- 16 recollection?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. What is this?
- 19 A. The first page is a summary of each application or module
- 20 in our-- of our products where I summarized the number of
- 21 programs and the number of lines of codes in each one. The
- 22 pages after that are the detail pages that I got the summary
- 23 from. And basically running a command on our system for each
- 24 library called display file description over the RPG source
- 25 file gives these each one of these reports at the back that..

- 1 Q. How many programs in the HMS medical records file before
- 2 the rewrite project?
- 3 A. 847.
- 4 Q. How many lines of code was in there?
- 5 A. For the RPG programs 451,597.
- 6 Q. And how many programs, software programs in the entire HMS
- 7 total integrated software package?
- 8 A. Of RPG programs 12,705.
- 9 Q. And how many lines of code in the entire HMS software
- 10 package?
- 11 A. Total lines of RPG code were 6,918,890.
- MR. KAY: Pass the witness.
- 13 THE COURT: Was that Exhibit 5?
- 14 MR. KAY: I didn't mark it as an exhibit, I'm happy
- 15 to do it if you--
- 16 THE COURT: Well, let's at least mark it for
- 17 identification.
- 18 MR. KAY: Sure.
- 19 THE COURT: So we know.
- MR. KAY: Sure.
- 21 MR. SMITH: Do you still have-- Mr. Stephenson, do
- 22 you still have Exhibit 4 in front of you?
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 24 MR. KAY: Your Honor, move admission of Exhibit 5.
- 25 THE COURT: What is your position on 5, Mr. Smith?

- 1 Do you want to review it at the break? It's big.
- 2 MR. SMITH: No objection.
- 3 THE COURT: All right. Exhibit 5 is received.
- 4 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 5 BY MR. SMITH:
- 6 Q. If you could turn to Exhibit 3, Mr. Stephenson. My
- 7 understanding is that you were provided with a CD.
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. On which these directories and these files were contained;
- 10 is that right?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And you opened up the 1979 to 1988 directory, and this
- 13 Exhibit 3 is consistent with what you saw; is that right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Are you familiar with how personal computers save date and
- 16 time stamps? How they mark a file when it's opened and mark it
- 17 as modified?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. So do you know whether or not these dates are accurate?
- 20 A. All I know is I opened the file and these were the dates
- 21 that were there.
- 22 MR. SMITH: We are going to get into-- This won't--
- 23 Judge, this will take 20 minutes is what I'm thinking.
- 24 BY MR. SMITH:
- 25 Q. I want to-- You talked a little bit about this, the

- 1 rewrite that HMS did, where it rewrote all of the software, I
- 2 think your words were to remove the alleged infringement and
- 3 that sort of thing. And I-- you testified that, I think I
- 4 heard you say that the update was released September 30th; is
- 5 that right?
- 6 A. Well, October 1st of '07 is when it became distributed for
- 7 general release. It had been released previous to that to BETA
- 8 sites, a certain subset of our client base.
- 9 Q. HMS had started releasing it as early as August of 2007;
- 10 is that right?
- 11 A. That sounds about right.
- 12 Q. And the roll out completed around November of 2007?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And in terms of releasing this update, this would be an
- 15 example where the entire HIM module was replaced; is that
- 16 correct?
- 17 A. Most likely. I don't know that for a fact.
- 18 Again, only programs that we changed would have been
- 19 released, so if there were programs that were not changed, they
- 20 would not have been. But I don't know that for a fact. I
- 21 don't know if every program in there was changed or not.
- 22 Q. Would it be reasonable to assume that every medical
- 23 records program that had formerly used the earlier version of
- 24 abstract would have been part of the update?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Abstract was changed significantly; is that right?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And just so the Court is very aware of, tell us again what
- 4 abstract is, what a database structure is?
- 5 A. The abstract is basically a database file that is a
- 6 collection of data assembled in one file.
- 7 Q. And the abstract before the rewrite had been updated how
- 8 many times-- No, let me-- How many releases would you
- 9 estimate medical records went through until this latest
- 10 upgrade, update?
- 11 A. I don't know how many it would have gone through.
- 12 Q. Didn't you testify that you believed that there had been
- 13 25 releases of medical records prior to the update?
- 14 A. Well, we were on release -- in terms of numbering our
- 15 releases, we were on release 25. That again doesn't
- 16 necessarily mean medical records was a part of every one of
- 17 those releases. But we essentially number our releases as we
- 18 distribute them, so we were at 25, but what-- how many medical
- 19 records was in as part of that, I don't know for sure.
- 20 Q. We've got some high tech stuff.
- 21 MR. SMITH: Could you put up Plaintiff's 67.
- 22 It's warming up. I'll move on. We will come back to
- 23 this.
- 24 THE COURT: Just so I understand. The total number
- of releases up until October 1, of '07, I gather for all the

- 1 company's product was 25?
- 2 THE WITNESS: Well, that was our major release
- 3 number. We may do smaller pieces through the year of different
- 4 things, it could be a 25.1 or 25.2 that might be a subset of a
- 5 particular product. But our major release that we would do
- from a numbering standpoint, we were at 25.
- 7 THE COURT: And what you cannot extract is the number
- 8 of releases confined to medical records only?
- 9 THE WITNESS: I don't know that.
- 10 THE COURT: Okay.
- 11 THE WITNESS: That number to be exact.
- 12 BY MR. SMITH:
- 13 Q. And up on the screen is Exhibit 67. Do you recognize this
- 14 document?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. What is it?
- 17 A. It's a, what we call a PIF, project information form,
- 18 where we document projects to be done.
- 19 Q. And this PIF, this project information form, related to
- 20 medical records, right?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 MR. SMITH: And Alfonso, could you enlarge the top
- 23 left corner.
- 24 BY MR. SMITH:
- 25 Q. Up in the upper left corner, you see where it says

- 1 releases?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. What do the numbers 231 and 240 mean?
- 4 A. That would have been release 23.1 and release 24.
- 5 MR. SMITH: And if you could enlarge the top right
- 6 corner, Alfonso.
- 7 BY MR. SMITH:
- 8 Q. This release was received 000, but the PIF date appears to
- 9 be March 4, 2005, right?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. As of March 4, 2005, is it fair to say that medical
- 12 records had been updated at least 24 times?
- 13 A. I don't-- I don't know that, and I don't think you can--
- 14 we can't assume that from that document. It was being modified
- 15 in release 23.1 and 24. Had it been a part of all the previous
- 16 releases up to that point, I don't know the answer to that.
- 17 And most likely it would not have been.
- 18 Q. When you say it, are you saying?
- 19 A. Medical records. The medical records application.
- 20 Q. So this release -- this release nomenclature refers to the
- 21 entire suite of HMS software or medical records?
- 22 A. It refers to a release of our software for that particular
- 23 point in time. So release, whatever the number was, 24 would
- 24 be a release that we would do at a particular point in time,
- 25 probably in '05, that release might contain updates or

- 1 enhancements for ten applications or modules, it might contain
- 2 enhancements for 15, it might contain enhancements for only
- 3 five, it just depends on what we are doing at that particular
- 4 point in time. I would, from this particular PIF, then I would
- 5 assume those two particular releases we had medical records as
- 6 an update. I don't know, release 22 might not have had that.
- 7 I just don't know the answer to that.
- 8 Q. So to make sure the Court understands your testimony, if a
- 9 minor change is made in one of these 6,918,890 lines of code,
- 10 if a minor change is made in one of those codes lines, the next
- 11 version of code that's shipped out with that change, it gets a
- 12 new release number?
- 13 A. I'm sorry, could you say that again?
- 14 Q. I understood your testimony to be that a new release
- 15 number could be assigned even for a small change in a program
- 16 unrelated to medical records, is that true?
- 17 A. No. A release-- We may make changes to the application,
- 18 any application over the course of a year, but that doesn't--
- 19 and then we kind of accumulate all of those into one release
- 20 that we would send out at one time. So changing one program
- 21 does not constitute a new release. I would take all of the
- 22 accumulation of whatever changes were made over the course of
- 23 whatever period of time it is, might be a year, might be six
- 24 months, might be 18 months, and I would-- we would then package
- 25 those into a release and call that release 24 or whatever the

- 1 number is, and that's what we would distribute to the client.
- 2 Every time we, for each little change we made to a particular
- 3 program does not constitute a release or would not necessarily
- 4 be distributed, you know, at that time. But we kind of wait
- 5 and do it all as a part of one release. I don't know if that
- 6 makes sense, but that's--
- 7 Q. And when you distribute that entire new release, do you
- 8 distribute a new batch of code or are you distributing bits and
- 9 pieces?
- 10 A. We are distributing the code that has changed. So if
- 11 nothing changed, we don't distribute that.
- 12 Q. Medical records was part of the base five set of software
- 13 that HMS started out with; is that right?
- 14 A. Yes, that is correct.
- 15 Q. So HMS has been a part of every single-- medical records
- 16 has been a part of every release since the inception of these
- 17 release dates; is that right?
- 18 A. No, that would not be correct.
- 19 Again, there may be-- There were releases and could
- 20 be releases where if we did not change medical records or
- 21 didn't change anything significantly, we would not include that
- 22 in the release. So releases don't include every application
- 23 that we have every time.
- 24 Q. Are you familiar with the term DRG?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. What does that stand for?
- 2 A. Diagnostic related groups.
- 3 Q. Is that a term used for Medicare reimbursement?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Also a term used for other third-party payers for such as
- 6 insurers?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And DRGs are promulgated-- the system of DRGs is
- 9 promulgated by the United States government; is that right?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Is that the Department of Health and Human Services or
- 12 what used to be called Health and Human Services?
- 13 A. I believer so. It's CMS now is the organization.
- 14 Q. And how often are DRGs updated by the government?
- 15 A. Every year, every October.
- 16 Q. And that's-- Has there ever been a year where they
- 17 haven't upgraded DRGs?
- 18 A. Not that I recall.
- 19 Q. In medical records, is it not true, medical records has a
- 20 DRG function in it?
- 21 A. Yes, our medical records does, yes.
- 22 Q. Is it not true that every time DRGs are updated medical
- 23 records needs to be updated?
- 24 A. That's true. The extent of which that occurs depends on
- 25 the DRG changes. Changes to the database files for DRGs that

- 1 occur where they may add diagnosis codes, take away diagnosis
- 2 codes, change the DRG codes themselves, those occur every year
- 3 which would necessitate us updating those database files.
- 4 The grouper itself, which is a program that creates
- 5 the DRGs, it generally it changes, but it doesn't always
- 6 change, it just depends on the nature of the changes that occur
- 7 that year.
- 8 So generally there is some update to medical records
- 9 for DRGs to the specific parts of it that it affects, but it
- 10 certainly doesn't affect the entire medical records
- 11 application.
- 12 Q. Does HMS use its own DRG grouper or is it contracting that
- 13 from a third party?
- 14 A. We use our own. We receive the files, the grouper files,
- 15 from a third party.
- 16 Q. Is that 3M?
- 17 A. Yes.
- THE COURT: From where, I'm sorry?
- THE WITNESS: 3M.
- 20 BY MR. SMITH:
- 21 Q. 3M is the name of the company.
- MR. SMITH: Could we take that down, please.
- 23 BY MR. SMITH:
- 24 Q. There was-- You were in the courtroom when Mr. Kay was
- 25 talking about Mr. Jacobson's opinion regarding abstract being a

- 1 critical part of the medical records program. Do you recall
- 2 his presentation on that?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Prior to your rewrite, isn't it true that medical records,
- 5 in fact, had over 300 different programs within the HMS
- 6 library?
- 7 A. I'm sorry, say that again.
- 8 Q. Prior to the rewrite, isn't it true that medical records
- 9 affected over 300 different programs in the HMS suite of
- 10 software systems?
- 11 A. That medical records affected 300 programs?
- 12 Q. Abstract was implicated in 300-- over 300 programs in the
- 13 HMS system?
- 14 A. I don't know the exact number, that sounds reasonable.
- 15 Q. Let's just nail it down.
- MR. SMITH: Could we have 66 up.
- 17 BY MR. SMITH:
- 18 Q. You'll recognize this as a PIF. I believe it was
- 19 displayed at your deposition.
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. At the top of the sheet it says--
- MR. SMITH: Could we enlarge the top half.
- 23 BY MR. SMITH:
- 24 Q. At the top of the sheet it says that the description of
- 25 the project was to add some operating procedures and some other

- 1 things, and what is highlighted is to externalize abstract in
- 2 all programs. Do you recall about when the company was doing
- 3 that?
- 4 A. It was around the '03 time frame.
- 5 Q. And the reason for that is because abstract formerly had
- 6 been imbedded in each of the individual programs, isn't that
- 7 right?
- 8 A. That is correct.
- 9 Q. And so when abstract was externalized, every program that
- 10 formerly had it embedded within the program was affected by the
- 11 task of externalizing abstract; is that right?
- 12 A. That is correct.
- 13 Q. And so if we look at this and if we count the number of
- 14 programs that were affected, by the management's decision to
- 15 externalize abstract, that would be a fair way of counting how
- 16 many programs abstract-- implicated abstract; is that correct?
- 17 A. That is correct.
- 18 Q. And I think even Mr. Kay's grandchild could probably count
- 19 these up and count there were over 300 here, but I won't take
- 20 the time to do that now.
- 21 Going back to your rewrite, you said that you had
- 22 rolled out the rewrite to some BETA sites. Could you please
- 23 tell us what you mean by BETA sites?
- 24 A. Well, anytime we introduce a new release or a new
- 25 application, whatever it might be, before we introduce it to

- 1 the entire customer base, we always roll it out to a certain
- 2 number of BETA sites that basically after we have done our
- 3 testing in-house, then we roll it out to sites to implement it
- 4 and use it and work out any bugs we may have before we
- 5 introduce it to the entire customer base.
- 6 Q. Does that happen with every release?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And how many BETA sites typically would receive it before
- 9 the general distribution?
- 10 A. It varies, but typically between 10 to 20.
- 11 Q. And the BETA process started in the rewrite case around
- 12 August of 2007, then you testified that it was generally
- 13 distributed October 1, 2007, I believe you said; is that right?
- 14 A. Yes, I believe that's right.
- 15 Q. And then there were still some distributions that were
- 16 occurring in as late as November; is that right?
- 17 A. That's possible, yes.
- 18 Q. Why is that?
- 19 A. Some customers may have chosen not to take it on October
- 20 1st, they may have wanted to wait. I don't know the specific
- 21 reasons why.
- 22 Q. Your contract requires them to accept updates, doesn't it?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. A client can't refuse an update, can it?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 Q. So why would you have distributions throughout October and
- 2 into November?
- 3 A. Well, in any release we do, the clients don't all put it
- 4 on in one day. I mean it's sometimes spread out over two to
- 5 three months, depending on their schedule. Sometimes we may
- 6 phase it in over a couple of months just to make sure that,
- 7 from our standpoint, that we can handle the increase in
- 8 support, or whatever may come out of that. So it's not
- 9 uncommon for the distribution to occur over a couple of month
- 10 period.
- 11 Q. You can't just push a button and have all of your
- 12 customers receive it at the same instant?
- 13 A. No. I wish I could, but no.
- 14 Q. So it's typical that it occurs over-- What is a typical
- 15 length of time that an update would be released and occur over?
- 16 A. It varies, but over a couple of month period generally
- 17 everyone installs the release.
- 18 Q. And would HMS be involved in that installation?
- 19 A. Typically not. We may be on the BETA sites, but once it
- 20 goes to general release, the customer does that.
- 21 Q. And you would then transmit the new replacement code to
- them electronically or send them a floppy disk or something?
- 23 A. Generally it's distributed on CDs.
- 24 Q. And why is it over time? Why don't you do it all at once?
- 25 A. We may distribute them all at once, but the clients don't

- 1 all put it on at once. And I don't know the exact timing of
- 2 when we distributed this one. I know we started-- it was
- 3 available October 1st, that's when we started the
- 4 distribution. I honestly don't know if we sent them all out
- 5 day one or if we staggered them over a couple month period in
- 6 terms of sending them. I just don't know.
- 7 Q. Typically, again typically, would they be sent all on one
- 8 day?
- 9 A. At the point of general release, yes.
- 10 Q. Are you familiar with the HIM manual, software manual put
- 11 out by HMS?
- 12 A. I know we have one. I haven't looked at it in a long
- 13 time.
- 14 Q. You were heavily involved in patient accounting, is that
- 15 right?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. You know the patient accounting program?
- 18 A. Yes. Again, I haven't programmed it in awhile, but yes,
- 19 I'm very familiar with it.
- 20 Q. You spent twelve years I thought in patient accounting?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. You made presentations related to patient accounting?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. When HMS was marketing this to potential customers, right?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- 1 Q. You know how patient accounting, which is a software
- 2 module, interacts with abstract, don't you?
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
- 4 MR. SMITH: Could we put up 95. Let's go to Page 2.
- 5 Is this all of 95?
- 6 MS. SHAW: Uh-huh.
- 7 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, I don't have a copy of what
- 8 is on the screen.
- 9 We'll just have to use what is on the screen.
- 10 We seem not to have the first part of 95, but we do
- 11 have it on the screen, your Honor, and I'll get the Court and
- 12 counsel a copy of 95.
- 13 BY MR. SMITH:
- 14 Q. Do you recognize this as a page from the HIM manual,
- 15 Mr. Stephenson?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Did you write this?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Were you around to supervise its creation?
- 20 A. I don't recall that particular one, being involved in
- 21 that. I could have been, I don't remember.
- 22 Q. Now, patient accounting on the left-hand side is the
- 23 program that you were most familiar with, right?
- 24 A. That is correct.
- 25 Q. Health Information Management, that's what HMS calls,

- 1 another name for its medical records program; is that right?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And so it says at the top, "Patient Accounting Health
- 4 Information Management Interface." Do you believe this is an
- 5 accurate representation of the interface between patient
- 6 accounting and abstract?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Just walking down through it we see at step two there is a
- 9 final census step, and then an abstract is created with things
- 10 like patient number, patient name, history number and on down
- 11 the line.
- 12 Now, abstract is that database structure that you
- 13 testified to earlier, right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And then in step three there is this optimizer function.
- 16 What is an optimizer function?
- 17 A. It basically is the DRG calculation program that
- 18 essentially takes the information from the system and computes
- 19 a DRG, that's diagnostic related group.
- 20 Q. Did HMS sell software that assisted service providers to
- 21 optimize their DRG reimbursement?
- 22 A. The optimizer calculates the DRG, yes.
- 23 Q. Did it ever-- Did it ever sell software in an attempt to
- 24 assist hospitals in maximizing the recovery from Medicare and
- 25 Medicaid through manipulating the DRGs?

- 1 A. No.
- 2 MR. SMITH: Could you go to the next page, Alfonso.
- 3 BY MR. SMITH:
- 4 Q. So in step five, we have a final census and then abstract
- 5 is updated with a discharge date, and then abstract is
- 6 finalized. Do you see that?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And that's accurate, right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And at that point, then this file called patients,
- 11 P-A-T-I-E-N-T-S, is updated with the same information that was
- 12 used to update abstract, right?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Now, patients is a file within patient accounting, right?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. And without this step, without patients being updated, it
- 17 would seriously affect the operability of patient accounting,
- 18 right?
- 19 A. Well, the-- I guess clarifying seriously, however you said
- 20 that, the patient accounting can't function without medical
- 21 records, and so the updating of patients from medical records
- 22 or from the abstract would not be absolutely necessary. In the
- 23 sense of using medical records in the abstracting function,
- then yes, then that information does update the patient's
- 25 file. However, patient accounting can function without that

- 1 component.
- 2 Q. Is your testimony patient accounting as its been sold by
- 3 HMS for the last 20 years doesn't need abstract?
- 4 A. That is correct, and has been sold that way.
- 5 Q. Patient accounting is part of the base five of the five
- 6 main modules of software that the company initially started
- 7 with in 1985-1986, right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Along with medical records, was that part of base five?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And you earlier testified that you worked on patient
- 12 accounting. Tell me, was patient accounting, was that part of
- 13 the software that Mr. Givens brought over with him from AMC?
- 14 A. I don't know whether he brought that or not. I started
- 15 writing it when I came over and I was writing new code, so I
- 16 don't know if he did or not.
- 17 Q. You were reviewing actual source code?
- 18 A. I'm sorry?
- 19 Q. You were reviewing actual source code when you started out
- 20 with AIC, weren't you?
- 21 A. I was writing source code.
- 22 Q. Did you review existing source code?
- 23 A. I don't recall reviewing existing source code.
- 24 Q. You never reviewed-- You don't recall reviewing existing
- 25 source code when you were writing applications for the patient

- 1 accounting module?
- 2 A. I could have, I just don't remember. I remember writing
- 3 new code, new programs, but what I looked at when I was there,
- 4 I don't remember.
- 5 Q. And just so the Court is clear with-- there's lots of
- 6 acronyms floating around. AMC was the company that Mr. Givens
- 7 worked at during this 1983 meeting. He left there and started
- 8 a company called AIC, Advanced Information Concepts, which
- 9 became HMS.
- 10 So you don't recall reviewing patient accounting
- 11 source code in those early days at AIC, is that your testimony?
- 12 A. I'm sure I did. I don't remember reviewing it or what I
- 13 reviewed. I remember writing new programs, because that's what
- 14 I did day and night, but I could have, I just don't remember
- 15 that.
- 16 Q. Did you observe-- Did HMS put copyright notices on any of
- 17 its source code prior to 1994?
- 18 A. I don't recall. I don't recall that I did.
- 19 Q. Did AIC put copyright notices on its source code that it
- 20 distributed back in the mid '80s?
- 21 A. Again, I don't recall that.
- 22 Q. Do you recall -- maybe this will spark your memory, do you
- 23 recall ever seeing any copyright notice on the source code that
- 24 you worked on in patient accounting?
- 25 A. I don't remember seeing it.

- 1 Q. Do you recall seeing a copyright notice from anybody,
- 2 Mr. Goldman, IBM, anyone?
- 3 A. No, I don't remember. I may have, I just don't remember.
- 4 Q. Earlier before we broke for lunch, you were going through
- 5 the numbers of HMS clients that had medical records, and you
- 6 can appreciate this is new information for the plaintiff.
- 7 Since January, 2002, you testified there had been 143
- 8 installations?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And between 1998 and 2001, 72 installations?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And then you testified that there was one customer that
- 13 had an enterprise license covering 85 installations; is that
- 14 right?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. So those 85 would be already included in those numbers we
- 17 just reviewed?
- 18 A. No, they are not included.
- 19 O. So we would have--
- 20 A. And I said I believe the number is 85. That number seems
- 21 right to me. It may be 84, it may be 86, I don't know, but
- 22 it's in that range.
- 23 Q. So these numbers that add up to 215, those relate to
- 24 contracts, not installations; is that right?
- 25 A. Those would be-- Those are installations for which we

- 1 receive the license fees.
- 2 Q. So you could have hundreds out there that aren't paying
- 3 you a license fee?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. You have more than one?
- 6 A. Well, the one that has the enterprise license is the one
- 7 that we are not, we don't receive a license fee for any
- 8 additional installations of the product.
- 9 Q. So my understanding is that your testimony on 215
- 10 installations only reflects those facilities that individually
- 11 pay you a license fee?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. And you have others on top of that that have the software
- 14 installed, but for which you receive a license fee through some
- 15 other entity; is that right?
- 16 A. Well, they purchased an enterprise license fee at a point
- 17 in time that then allows certain applications, then as they
- 18 purchase additional hospitals or they additional hospitals,
- 19 they install those applications and they do not pay us a
- 20 license fee for each one along the way.
- 21 Q. And this enterprise function is a strength of HMS, isn't
- 22 it?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. It's one of the markets that you pursue is these related
- 25 hospitals, right?

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. And in fact, you're moving towards enterprise licensing;
- 3 is that right?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. How many enterprise licenses does HMS have?
- 6 A. Only one.
- 7 Q. So it's your testimony that medical records is now
- 8 installed in only 300 facilities?
- 9 A. I'm sorry, say that again.
- 10 Q. It's your testimony that medical records, to the best of
- 11 your knowledge, is only installed in 300 facilities, the 215
- 12 plus the 85?
- 13 A. No, that's not what I said. The 215 represents what was-
- 14 what has been installed since '98 up through last September,
- 15 then there's 85 on top of that from-- or roughly the 85 number
- 16 from the enterprise license. So there, you know, that starts
- 17 at '98, so there are others prior to '98.
- 18 Q. Do you have any reason to doubt the testimony of people
- 19 like Tom Givens, Patricia Douglas, Paul Agee when they testify
- 20 regarding the number of customers, do you have any reason to
- 21 doubt their testimony?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. It would have been very difficult for HMS to succeed when
- 24 it was starting out in the mid '80s without medical records; is
- 25 that right?

- 1 A. I don't know if I could make that statement or not.
- 2 MR. SMITH: Would you put up Page 134 of
- 3 Mr. Stephenson's deposition.
- 4 THE COURT: I'm sorry, Mr. Smith, this is whose
- 5 deposition?
- 6 MR. SMITH: Mr. Stephenson's deposition.
- 7 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 8 MR. SMITH: Could we go to 133, please. Could we put
- 9 133 and 134 side by side, is that possible?
- 10 BY MR. SMITH:
- 11 Q. At the bottom of Page 133:
- 12 "Again, Mr. Stephenson, going back to the mid to
- 13 late '90s time frame, could AIC and HMS have succeeded without
- 14 medical records program?
- 15 "A. I guess it depends on your definition of
- 16 success."
- 17 And then going to the middle of Page 134, you qualify
- 18 it with, "You would have to speculate." But then you say, "I
- 19 think it would probably be very difficult."
- 20 Is that, as you sit here today, would that be your
- 21 testimony?
- 22 A. It would have been more difficult. Medical records was
- 23 certainly something that we-- that helped us get into the--
- 24 into the show when we went in to talk to people, but it was not
- 25 certainly wasn't the focal point of what we were selling at the

1	time, but it certainly would have made it more difficult.
2	Q. Was patient accounting based on IBM's HFMS program?
3	A. I believe it started that way, yes.
4	Q. And you don't recall seeing any copyright notice from IBM
5	on the source code that you reviewed, right?
6	A. I don't remember seeing any, no.
7	MR. SMITH: May I have one moment?
8	(Pause in proceedings.)
9	MR. SMITH: No further questions.
10	THE COURT: Mr. Kay.
11	MR. KAY: I have no redirect, your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Thank you.
13	Mr. Stephenson, you may step down, sir, with the
14	Court's thanks.
15	(At 3:16 p.m., witness excused.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

KATHLEEN S. THOMAS, U.S. District Court Reporter 410 West Michigan Avenue, Kalamazoo, Michigan 49007 (269)385-3050

25

1			
2			
3			
4			
5	R	EPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	
6			
7			
8	I, Kathleen S. T	homas, Official Court Reporter for	
9	the United States Dis	trict Court for the Western District	
10	of Michigan, appointe	d pursuant to the provisions of Title	
11	28, United States Cod	e, Section 753, do hereby certify	
12	that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of		
13	proceedings had in the within-entitled and numbered cause		
14	on the date hereinbefore set forth; and I do further		
15	certify that the foregoing transcript has been prepared by		
16	me or under my direction.		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21		Cathleen S. Thomas, CSR-1300, RPR	
22	4	I.S. District Court Reporter 10 West Michigan	
23	K	alamazoo, Michigan 49007	
24			

KATHLEEN S. THOMAS, U.S. District Court Reporter 410 West Michigan Avenue, Kalamazoo, Michigan 49007 (269)385-3050

25